

Full Paper

$\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ –Supplemented modules

Emine Onal Kir ^{1,*} and Burcu Nisanci Turkmen ²

¹ Kirsehir Ahi Evran University, Faculty of Science and Art, Department of Mathematics, Bagbasi, 40100 Kirsehir, Turkey

² Amasya University, Faculty of Science and Art, Department of Mathematics, Ipekkoy, 05100 Amasya, Turkey

* Corresponding author, e-mail: emine.onal@ahievran.edu.tr

Received: 6 February 2024 / Accepted: 14 October 2024 / Published: 21 October 2024

Abstract: We describe $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ –supplemented modules as a proper generalisation of \oplus_{SS} –supplemented modules. We show that each direct summand of a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ –supplemented module satisfying condition (D_3) is also $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ –supplemented. Then we prove that the finite direct sum of $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ –supplemented submodules as a duo module is $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ –supplemented. Moreover, we have given some types of rings whose modules are $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ –supplemented.

Keywords: ss –supplement, $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ –supplemented module, endomorphism ring

INTRODUCTION

All along the present text, whole modules will be considered as unital left R –modules where R denotes an associative ring having identity element. Let S be such a module. The notations $L \leq S$ and $L \leq_{\oplus} S$ notify that L is a submodule of S and L is a direct summand of S respectively. $End_R(S)$ indicates the endomorphism ring of an R –module S . A submodule L of S is named *small* in S , denoted as $L \ll S$, if $S \neq L + K$ for each proper submodule K of S . $Rad(S)$ signifies the intersection of whole maximal submodules of S , equivalently the sum of whole small submodules of S . Moreover, $Soc(S)$ stands for the socle of a module S , i.e. the sum of whole simple submodules of S . Explicitly for a module S , $Soc(S)$ is the largest semi-simple submodule of S . A submodule L of a module S is named *fully invariant* if $\vartheta(L)$ is included in L for each endomorphism ϑ of S [1]. A module S is referred to as *dual Rickart*, shortly *d-Rickart* if, for each $\vartheta \in End_R(S)$, $Im(\vartheta) \leq_{\oplus} S$. Also, a module S is referred to as *T–dual Rickart*, shortly *T-d-Rickart* if, for each homomorphism $\vartheta : S \rightarrow T$, $Im(\vartheta) \leq_{\oplus} T$ [2].

Let S be a module and $K, H \leq S$. H is named a *supplement* of K in S , provided $S = K + H$ and $K \cap H \ll H$. A module S is named *supplemented* if each submodule of S possesses a supplement in S . A module S is named *amply supplemented* if, for any submodules L and K of S with $S = L + K$, there is a supplement of L in S that is contained in K [1]. A module S is named *lifting* if, for each $K \leq S$, there is a $D \leq_{\oplus} S$ such that $D \leq K$ and $K/D \ll S/D$ [3].

Mohamed and Müller [4] generalised the notion of the lifting modules to the notion of \oplus -supplemented modules as follows. A module S is \oplus -supplemented if each submodule of S possesses a supplement which is a direct summand of S . Many generalisations of \oplus -supplemented modules have been defined and examined in several studies [5-7].

S is named a *\mathcal{J} -lifting module*, provided there is a $K \leq_{\oplus} S$ such that $K \leq \text{Im}(\vartheta)$ and $\text{Im}(\vartheta)/K \ll S/K$, for each $\vartheta \in \text{End}_R(S)$ [8]. In the same paper a module S is named *\mathcal{J} -supplemented*, provided $\text{Im}(\vartheta)$ possesses a supplement in S for each $\vartheta \in \text{End}_R(S)$, and a module S is named *amply \mathcal{J} -supplemented*, provided $\text{Im}(\vartheta)$ possesses ample supplements in S for each $\vartheta \in \text{End}_R(S)$. The sum of whole simple submodules of S which are small in S is named $\text{Soc}_s(S)$ for a module S , i.e. $\text{Soc}_s(S) = \{L \ll S \mid L \text{ is simple}\}$ [9].

Kaynar et al. [10] introduced *ss-supplement submodules* as a generalisation of direct summands. Let $H, K \leq S$. Then H is named an *ss-supplement* of K in S if $S = H + K$ and $H \cap K \leq \text{Soc}_s(H)$. It is proved that for a module S , $\text{Soc}_s(S) = \text{Rad}(S) \cap \text{Soc}(S)$. In the same paper it is shown that H is an *ss-supplement* of K in S if and only if $S = H + K$, $H \cap K \ll H$ and $H \cap K$ is semi-simple if and only if $S = H + K$, $H \cap K \leq \text{Rad}(H)$ and $H \cap K$ is semi-simple. It is defined that a module S is *ss-supplemented*, provided each submodule of S possesses an *ss-supplement* in S , and a module S is named *amply ss-supplemented*, provided each submodule of S possesses ample *ss-supplements* in S , that is for any submodules L and K of S with $S = L + K$, there is an *ss-supplement* of L in S that is contained in K [10].

A module S is defined as *ss-lifting*, provided that for each submodule U of S , S possesses a decomposition $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$ such that $S_1 \leq U$, $U \cap S_2 \leq \text{Soc}_s(S_2)$ [11]. It is shown in Theorem 1 [11] that S is an *ss-lifting module* if and only if S is an *amply ss-supplemented module* and each *ss-supplement submodule* of S is a direct summand.

A module S is defined as \oplus_{ss} -supplemented, provided each submodule of S possesses an *ss-supplement* H such that $H \leq_{\oplus} S$ [5]. It is explicit that each *ss-lifting module* is a \oplus_{ss} -supplemented module.

A module S is named *\mathcal{J}_{ss} -lifting*, provided that for each $\vartheta \in \text{End}_R(S)$, there is $H \leq_{\oplus} S$ such that $H \leq \text{Im}(\vartheta)$, $\text{Im}(\vartheta)/H \ll S/H$ and $\text{Im}(\vartheta)/H$ is semi-simple [12]. In the same paper a module S is named *\mathcal{J}_{ss} -supplemented*, provided $\text{Im}(\vartheta)$ possesses an *ss-supplement* in S for each $\vartheta \in \text{End}_R(S)$, and a module S is named *amply \mathcal{J}_{ss} -supplemented*, provided $\text{Im}(\vartheta)$ possesses ample *ss-supplements* in S for each $\vartheta \in \text{End}_R(S)$.

Building upon these definitions, this study describes the concept of $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented modules as a proper generalisation of \oplus_{ss} -supplemented modules. We establish the equivalence between a module S with $\text{Soc}_s(S) = 0$ being $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented and S being d-Rickart. As an immediate result, we demonstrate that an R -module S is $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented over a left V -ring R if and only if S is d-Rickart. We provide that each finite direct sum of copies of d-Rickart module S is $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented. We show that for the modules S_1 and S_2 which are fully invariant in $S_1 \oplus S_2$, $S_1 \oplus S_2$ is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module if and only if S_{λ} is $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented for each $\lambda =$

1, 2. We prove that a projective module S with $Rad(S) \leq Soc(S)$ is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module if and only if $S/Im(\vartheta)$ possesses a projective cover for each $\vartheta \in End_R(S)$. Moreover, when a ring R is left perfect with $Rad(R) \leq Soc({}_R R)$, each projective left R -module is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module, that is each free left R -module is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Definition 1. We name a module S as $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented, provided that for each $\vartheta \in End_R(S)$, there is $D \leq_{\oplus} S$ such that $S = Im(\vartheta) + D$, $Im(\vartheta) \cap D \ll D$ and $Im(\vartheta) \cap D$ is semi-simple.

The example below shows that although each ss -lifting module is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module, the converse of this fact is not correct.

Example 1. Consider a local Dedekind domain, say R , and let Q be its quotient field. Consider $S = {}_R Q$. Then S is a hollow module and so it is an indecomposable module. Since $Rad(S) = S$ and $Soc(S) = 0$, S is not an ss -lifting module by Example 1 [11]. On the other hand, since S is a \mathcal{J}_{ss} -lifting module by Example 2.2 [12], then S is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Definition 2. Let S and T be modules. We name a module S as $T - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented, provided that for each homomorphism $\vartheta : S \rightarrow T$, there is a $D \leq_{\oplus} T$ such that $T = Im(\vartheta) + D$, $Im(\vartheta) \cap D \ll D$ and $Im(\vartheta) \cap D$ is semi-simple.

According to given definitions, a module S is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module if and only if S is an $S - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module. It is explicit that if S is a T -d-Rickart module, then S is a $T - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module. Moreover, when T is a semi-simple module, S is a $T - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module for any R -module S .

Theorem 1. Let S and T be modules. Then S is a $T - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module if and only if S' is a $T' - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module for each fully invariant $T' \leq_{\oplus} T$ and for each $S' \leq_{\oplus} S$.

Proof. For some $e^2 = e \in End_R(S)$, let $S' = eS$. Let $T' \leq_{\oplus} T$ be fully invariant and $\psi \in Hom(S', T')$. Since $\psi e(S) = \psi S' \leq T' \leq T$ and S is a $T - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module, there is a $L \leq_{\oplus} T$ such that $T = \psi e(S) + L$, $\psi e(S) \cap L \ll L$ and $\psi e(S) \cap L$ is semi-simple. Note here that $\psi e(S) \cap L \ll T'$ by Section 19.3 [1]. Then we derive that $\psi e(S) + (L \cap T') = T'$. Since T' is a fully invariant submodule of T , then $L \cap T' \leq_{\oplus} T'$ by Lemma 2.1 [13]. Thus, $\psi e(S) \cap (L \cap T') \ll L \cap T'$ by Section 19.3 [1]. Also, since $\psi e(S) \cap (L \cap T')$ is semi-simple by Section 8.1.5 [14], then S' is a $T' - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module. The rest of the proof is explicit.

Corollary 1. Let S be a module. Then the assertions below are equivalent:

- 1) S is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.
- 2) Each $D \leq_{\oplus} S$ is a $T - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module for any fully invariant $T \leq_{\oplus} S$.

If a module S is a d-Rickart module, then S is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module. However, for instance, the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_4 is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module; nevertheless it is not d-Rickart.

Proposition 1. Let S be a module where $Soc_s(S) = 0$. Then S is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module if and only if S is a d-Rickart module.

Proof. Suppose that S is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module and let ϑ be an arbitrary endomorphism of S . Then there is an $L \leq_{\oplus} S$ such that $S = Im(\vartheta) + L$, $Im(\vartheta) \cap L \ll L$ and $Im(\vartheta) \cap L$ is semi-simple. Thus, $Im(\vartheta) \cap L \leq Soc_s(S)$. By the assumption that $Soc_s(S) = 0$, then we have $S = Im(\vartheta) \oplus L$. Hence S is a d-Rickart module. The other part of the proof is explicit.

If each simple left R -module is injective, then the ring R is called a left V -ring [1]. It is evident, based on the facts in Section 23.1 [1], that R is a left V -ring if and only if the radical of each left R -module S is zero. In the context of commutative rings, the equivalence between being a left V -ring and von Neumann regular is established in Section 23.5 [1].

Corollary 2. Let S be an R -module where R is a left V -ring. Then S is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module if and only if S is d-Rickart.

Proof. By Section 23.1 [1], $Rad(S) = 0$ for each left R -module S . Thus, the claim stems from Proposition 1.

Corollary 3. Let S be an R -module where R is a commutative von Neumann regular ring. Then S is a d-Rickart module if and only if S is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Proof. The proof stems from Corollary 2 and Section 23.5 [1].

Corollary 4. Let R be a Dedekind domain which is not a field and S be a torsion-free R -module. Then S is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module if and only if S is a d-Rickart module.

Proof. The proof stems from Proposition 1 and Proposition 2.1 [15].

Proposition 2. Let S be an indecomposable module. Assuming that if $\vartheta \in End_R(S)$ such that $Im(\vartheta) \leq Soc_S(S)$, then $\vartheta = 0$. S is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module if and only if each non-zero endomorphism of S is an epimorphism.

Proof. Assume that $0 \neq \vartheta \in End_R(S)$. There is an $L \leq_{\oplus} S$ such that $S = Im(\vartheta) + L$, $Im(\vartheta) \cap L \ll L$ and $Im(\vartheta) \cap L$ is semi-simple as S is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module by assumption. Since S is an indecomposable module, then $L = S$ or $L = 0$. If $L = S$, then $Im(\vartheta) \ll S$ and $Im(\vartheta)$ is semi-simple. Thus, $Im(\vartheta) \leq Soc_S(S)$. Then by the assumption, $\vartheta = 0$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $L = 0$, and so ϑ is an epimorphism. The rest of the proof is explicit.

Corollary 5. Let S be an indecomposable module. Then S is a d-Rickart module if and only if S is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module, and for each $\vartheta \in End_R(S)$, $Im(\vartheta) \leq Soc_S(S)$ implies that $\vartheta = 0$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) This is explicit.

(\Leftarrow) By Proposition 2 each non-zero endomorphism of S is an epimorphism. Thus, by Proposition 4.4 [2], S is a d-Rickart module.

Recall that a module S is termed *retractable* if, for each $0 \neq N \leq S$, there is a non-zero endomorphism ϑ of S such that $\vartheta(S) \leq N$.

Corollary 6. Let S be an indecomposable retractable module. Suppose that $\vartheta \in End_R(S)$ with $Im(\vartheta) \leq Soc_S(S)$ implies that $\vartheta = 0$. If S is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module, then S is a simple module.

Proof. Let indecomposable retractable module S be $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented and N be any non-zero submodule of S . Since S is retractable, there is a non-zero endomorphism ϑ of S such that $\vartheta(S) \leq N$. Since ϑ is an epimorphism by Proposition 2, then we have $N = S$. Therefore S is a simple module.

Proposition 3. Let S be a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module. Let L be a submodule of S such that S/L is a projective module. Then S is an $L - \mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Proof. Let ϑ be any homomorphism from S to L . Let us take the endomorphism $\iota\vartheta : S \rightarrow S$, where ι is the inclusion homomorphism from L to S . Since S is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module, there is a $K \leq_{\oplus} S$ such that $S = Im(\vartheta) + K$, $Im(\vartheta) \cap K \ll K$ and $Im(\vartheta) \cap K$ is semi-simple. Thus, $L = Im(\vartheta) + (L \cap K)$. Since S/L is projective, $L \cap K \leq_{\oplus} S$ by Lemma 2.3 [6]. Then $L \cap K \leq_{\oplus} L$ and

$L \cap K \leq_{\oplus} K$. By Section 19.3 [1], $Im(\vartheta) \cap K \ll L \cap K$. Hence S is an $L - \mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Corollary 7. Let $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$ be a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module and S_2 be a projective module. Then S_1 is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Proof. By Proposition 3, S is an $S_1 - \mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module. Thus, S_1 is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module by Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let S_1, S_2 and T be modules. Assuming that T is an $S_{\lambda} - \mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module for each $\lambda = 1, 2$, then T is an $S_1 \oplus S_2 - \mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module if, for each homomorphism ψ from T to $S_1 \oplus S_2$ and any projection π of $S_1 \oplus S_2$, we have $\pi(Im(\psi)) = Im(\psi) \cap Im(\pi)$. The converse is correct if, for each $\lambda = 1, 2$, S_{λ} is fully invariant in $S_1 \oplus S_2$.

Proof. Suppose that T is an $S_{\lambda} - \mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module for each $\lambda = 1, 2$. To prove that T is an $S_1 \oplus S_2 - \mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module, let $\vartheta = (\pi_1\vartheta, \pi_2\vartheta)$ be any homomorphism from T to $S_1 \oplus S_2$, where each $\pi_{\lambda} : S_1 \oplus S_2 \rightarrow S_{\lambda}$ for each $\lambda = 1, 2$ is the canonical projection. Since T is an $S_{\lambda} - \mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module, there is a $K_{\lambda} \leq_{\oplus} S_{\lambda}$ such that $S_{\lambda} = (\pi_{\lambda}\vartheta)(T) + K_{\lambda}$, $(\pi_{\lambda}\vartheta)(T) \cap K_{\lambda} \ll K_{\lambda}$ and $(\pi_{\lambda}\vartheta)(T) \cap K_{\lambda}$ is semi-simple for each $\lambda = 1, 2$. If $K = K_1 \oplus K_2$, obviously $K \leq_{\oplus} S_1 \oplus S_2$. As $(\pi_1\vartheta)(T) = \pi_1(\vartheta(T) + S_2) = (\vartheta(T) + S_2) \cap S_1$ and $(\pi_2\vartheta)(T) = \pi_2(\vartheta(T) + K_1) = (\vartheta(T) + K_1) \cap S_2$, then we obtain $S_1 \leq \vartheta(T) + S_2 + K_1$ and $S_2 \leq \vartheta(T) + K_1 + K_2$. Thus, $S_1 \oplus S_2 = \vartheta(T) + K_1 + K_2 = \vartheta(T) + K$. Moreover, $S_1 \oplus S_2 = (\pi_1\vartheta)(T) + (\pi_2\vartheta)(T) + K_1 + K_2 = \vartheta(T) + K$. Since $\vartheta(T) \cap (K_1 + K_2) \leq (\vartheta(T) + K_1) \cap K_2 + (\vartheta(T) + K_2) \cap K_1$, we have $\vartheta(T) \cap (K_1 + K_2) \leq (\vartheta(T) + S_1) \cap K_2 + (\vartheta(T) + S_2) \cap K_1$. Since $\vartheta(T) + S_1 = (\pi_2\vartheta)(T) \oplus S_1$ and $\vartheta(T) + S_2 = (\pi_1\vartheta)(T) \oplus S_2$, then $\vartheta(T) \cap K \leq ((\pi_2\vartheta)(T) \cap K_2) + ((\pi_1\vartheta)(T) \cap K_1)$. As $(\pi_{\lambda}\vartheta)(T) \cap K_{\lambda} \ll K_{\lambda}$ for each $\lambda = 1, 2$, $\vartheta(T) \cap K \ll K_1 + K_2 = K$ by Section 19.3 [1]. Also, since $((\pi_{\lambda}\vartheta)(T) \cap K_{\lambda})$ is a semi-simple module for each $\lambda = 1, 2$, $\vartheta(T) \cap K$ is semi-simple by Section 8.1.5 [14]. Hence T is an $S_1 \oplus S_2 - \mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module. The rest of the proof is explicit by Theorem 1.

Corollary 8. Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m be left R -modules. Let $\bigoplus_{\lambda=1}^m S_{\lambda}$ be an $S_{\eta} - \mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module for $\eta = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then $\bigoplus_{\lambda=1}^m S_{\lambda}$ is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module. The converse is correct if each S_{λ} is fully invariant in $\bigoplus_{\lambda=1}^m S_{\lambda}$.

Corollary 9. Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m be left R -modules. Let S_{λ} be an S_{η} -d-Rickart module for whole $\lambda, \eta \in I = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Then $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in I} S_{\lambda}$ is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Proof. By Corollary 5.4 [2], $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in I} S_{\lambda}$ is an S_{η} -d-Rickart module for whole $\eta \in I = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Thus, $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in I} S_{\lambda}$ is an $S_{\eta} - \mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module. Thus, by Corollary 8, $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in I} S_{\lambda}$ is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Corollary 10. Each finite direct sum of copies of d-Rickart module S is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Theorem 3. Let S_1 and S_2 be modules. Suppose that for each $\lambda = 1, 2$, S_{λ} is fully invariant in $S_1 \oplus S_2$. Then $S_1 \oplus S_2$ is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module if and only if S_{λ} is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module for each $\lambda = 1, 2$.

Proof. Let S_{λ} be a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module for each $\lambda = 1, 2$. Let $\vartheta = (\vartheta_{\lambda\eta})_{\lambda, \eta} \in End_R(S_1 \oplus S_2)$, where $\vartheta_{\lambda\eta} \in Hom(S_{\eta}, S_{\lambda})$. Since S_{λ} is fully invariant in $S_1 \oplus S_2$ for each $\lambda = 1, 2$, then $Im(\vartheta) = Im(\vartheta_{11}) \oplus Im(\vartheta_{22})$. As S_{λ} is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module for each $\lambda = 1, 2$, there is a $K_{\lambda} \leq_{\oplus} S_{\lambda}$ such that $S_{\lambda} = Im(\vartheta_{\lambda\lambda}) + K_{\lambda}$, $Im(\vartheta_{\lambda\lambda}) \cap K_{\lambda} \ll K_{\lambda}$ and $Im(\vartheta_{\lambda\lambda}) \cap K_{\lambda}$ is semi-simple. If $K =$

$K_1 \oplus K_2$, then $K \leq_{\oplus} S_1 \oplus S_2$. Also, $S_1 \oplus S_2 = \text{Im}(\vartheta_{11}) \oplus \text{Im}(\vartheta_{22}) + (K_1 \oplus K_2)$. Since $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap (K_1 \oplus K_2) \leq [(\text{Im}(\vartheta) + K_1) \cap K_2] + [(\text{Im}(\vartheta) + K_2) \cap K_1]$, we obtain $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap (K_1 \oplus K_2) \leq (\text{Im}(\vartheta_{11}) \cap K_1) + (\text{Im}(\vartheta_{22}) \cap K_2) \ll K_1 \oplus K_2$. Moreover, since $\text{Im}(\vartheta_{\lambda\lambda}) \cap K_{\lambda}$ is a semi-simple module for each $\lambda = 1, 2$, then $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap (K_1 \oplus K_2)$ is semi-simple by Section 8.1.5 [14]. Hence $S_1 \oplus S_2$ is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module. The necessity stems from Theorem 1.

As a reminder, a module S is named *duo module* when each submodule of S is a fully invariant submodule [13].

Corollary 11. Let $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$ be a duo module. Then S is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module if and only if S_1 and S_2 are $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented modules.

Lemma 1. Let $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$. Then S is an $S_2 - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module if and only if, for each $\vartheta \in \text{End}_R(S)$ with $S_1 \leq \text{Im}(\vartheta)$, there is a $K \leq_{\oplus} S$ such that $K \leq S_2$, $S = K + \text{Im}(\vartheta)$, $K \cap \text{Im}(\vartheta) \ll S$ and $K \cap \text{Im}(\vartheta)$ is semi-simple.

Proof. Let S be an $S_2 - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module. Assume that $\vartheta = (\pi_1\vartheta, \pi_2\vartheta)$ is any endomorphism of S with $S_1 \leq \text{Im}(\vartheta)$, where $\pi_{\lambda} : S \rightarrow S_{\lambda}$ for each $\lambda = 1, 2$ is the canonical projection. Since S is an $S_2 - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module, there is an $L \leq_{\oplus} S_2$ such that $S_2 = \text{Im}(\pi_2\vartheta) + L$, $\text{Im}(\pi_2\vartheta) \cap L \ll L$ and $\text{Im}(\pi_2\vartheta) \cap L$ is semi-simple. It is easy to see that $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap S_2 = \text{Im}(\pi_2\vartheta)$. Therefore $S = S_1 + S_2 = S_1 + (\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap S_2) + L = \text{Im}(\vartheta) + L$, $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap L \ll L$ and $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap L$ is semi-simple.

Conversely, let $\vartheta \in \text{Hom}(S, S_2)$. Consider the endomorphism $\gamma = \vartheta + \pi_1 \in \text{End}_R(S)$, where $\pi_1 : S \rightarrow S_1$ is the canonical projection. Since $S_1 \leq \text{Im}(\vartheta) \oplus S_1 = \text{Im}(\gamma)$, there is an $L \leq_{\oplus} S$ such that $L \leq S_2$, $S = \text{Im}(\gamma) + L$, $\text{Im}(\gamma) \cap L \ll S$ and $\text{Im}(\gamma) \cap L$ is semi-simple by the assumption. Thus, $S_2 = \text{Im}(\vartheta) + L$, $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap L \ll L$, $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap L$ is semi-simple and $L \leq_{\oplus} S_2$. Hence S is an $S_2 - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Theorem 4. Let $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$ be a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module. Assuming that for each $L \leq_{\oplus} S$ with $S = L + S_2$, $L \cap S_2 \leq_{\oplus} S$, then S is an $S_2 - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Proof. Let $\vartheta = (\pi_1\vartheta, \pi_2\vartheta)$ be any endomorphism of S with $S_1 \leq \text{Im}(\vartheta)$, where $\pi_{\lambda} : S \rightarrow S_{\lambda}$ for each $\lambda = 1, 2$ is the canonical projection. We then set $\iota_2\pi_2\vartheta \in \text{End}_R(S)$, where $\iota_2 : S_2 \rightarrow S$ is the canonical injection. We denote that $\text{Im}(\iota_2\pi_2\vartheta) = \text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap S_2$. Since S is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module, there is an $L \leq_{\oplus} S$ such that $S = (\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap S_2) + L$, $(\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap S_2) \cap L \ll L$ and $(\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap S_2) \cap L$ is semi-simple. Thus, $S = \text{Im}(\vartheta) + S_2$. By Lemma 1.2 [16], $S = (L \cap S_2) + \text{Im}(\vartheta)$. By hypothesis, $L \cap S_2 \leq_{\oplus} S$ as $S = L + S_2$. Therefore by using Lemma 1, S is an $S_2 - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Corollary 12. Let S be a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module and $L \leq_{\oplus} S$ such that S/L is an L -projective module. Then S is an $L - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Proof. Let $L' \leq_{\oplus} S$ with $S = L' + L$. Since $L \leq_{\oplus} S$, then $S = L \oplus K$ for some submodule K of S . Thus, K is an L -projective module. By Section 41.14 [1], there is $L'' \leq L'$ such that $S = L'' \oplus L$. Then we get $L' = L'' \oplus (L' \cap L)$, i.e. $L' \cap L \leq_{\oplus} S$. Hence S is an $L - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module by Theorem 4.

Corollary 13. Let $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$ be a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module such that S_1 is an S_2 -projective module. Then S is an $S_2 - \mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Proof. This stems from Corollary 12.

Corollary 14. Let $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$ be a module. If, for each $\vartheta \in \text{End}_R(S)$ with $S_1 \leq \text{Im}(\vartheta)$, there is a $K \leq_{\oplus} S$ such that $K \leq S_2$, $S = K + \text{Im}(\vartheta)$, $K \cap \text{Im}(\vartheta) \ll S$ and $K \cap \text{Im}(\vartheta)$ is semi-simple, then S_2 is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Proof. By Lemma 1 and Theorem 1.

A module S is said to have *condition* (D_3) if when $S = S_1 + S_2$ with $S_1, S_2 \leq_{\oplus} S$, then $S_1 \cap S_2 \leq_{\oplus} S$ [17].

Corollary 15. Let S be a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module with condition (D_3) . Then each direct summand of S is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Proof. By Theorem 4 and Theorem 1.

Corollary 16. Let $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$ be a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module such that S_1 is an S_2 -projective module. Then S_2 is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Proof. By Corollary 13 and Theorem 1.

A module S is named *Hopfian* if each epimorphism $\vartheta \in \text{End}_R(S)$ is an isomorphism [3].

Proposition 4. Let S be a noetherian $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module. Suppose that for any $\vartheta \in \text{End}_R(S)$, $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \leq \text{Soc}_s(S)$ implies that $\vartheta = 0$. Then there is a decomposition $S = S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_n$ where S_i is an indecomposable noetherian $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module with $\text{End}_R(S_i)$ as a division ring.

Proof. As S is a noetherian module, S possesses a finite decomposition such that direct summands are indecomposable noetherian. Thus, according to Theorem 1, each direct summand is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module. Since each noetherian module is Hopfian, then each direct summand is an indecomposable Hopfian d-Rickart module by Corollary 5, and so their endomorphism rings are division ring by Corollary 4.8 [2].

Theorem 5. Consider the assertions below in relation to a ring R :

- 1) R is a semi-simple artinian ring.
- 2) Each left R -module is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.
- 3) Each free left R -module is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Then (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3). Moreover, if $\text{Soc}_s({}_R R) = 0$, then (3) \Rightarrow (1).

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let S be an R -module and ϑ be an arbitrary endomorphism of S . Since R is a semi-simple artinian ring, then $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \leq_{\oplus} S$ by Proposition 3.7 [18]. Hence S is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): This is explicit.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let I be a left ideal of R . Then there is a free R -module F and an epimorphism $\vartheta : F \rightarrow I$. By the assumption, there is a $K \leq_{\oplus} F$ such that $F = K + I$, $K \cap I \ll K$ and $K \cap I$ is semi-simple. Then $K \cap I \leq \text{Soc}_s({}_R R)$. By the assumption, since $\text{Soc}_s({}_R R) = 0$, then $I \leq_{\oplus} F$, and similarly for ${}_R R$. Hence R is a semi-simple artinian ring.

It is recalled from Section 19.4 [1] that a projective module S , together with an epimorphism $f : S \rightarrow N$ such that $\text{Ker}(f) \ll S$, is named a *projective cover* of N .

Proposition 5. Let S be a projective module with $\text{Rad}(S) \leq \text{Soc}(S)$. Then the statements below are equivalent:

- 1) S is a $\mathcal{J}_{SS}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.
- 2) $S/\text{Im}(\vartheta)$ possesses a projective cover for each $\vartheta \in \text{End}_R(S)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let ϑ be the arbitrary endomorphism of S . Then by hypothesis, there is an $L \leq_{\oplus} S$ with $S = \text{Im}(\vartheta) + L$, $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap L \ll L$ and $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap L$ is semi-simple. Since L is a projective module by Section 18.1 [1], we have the epimorphism $f : L \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/\text{Im}(\vartheta)$. Thus, $\text{Ker}(f) = \text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap L \ll L$. Hence $S/\text{Im}(\vartheta)$ possesses a projective cover.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let $\vartheta \in \text{End}_R(S)$ and $f : P \rightarrow S/\text{Im}(\vartheta)$ be a projective cover. Then by projectivity of S , there is a homomorphism $g : S \rightarrow P$ such that $fg = \pi$, where $\pi : S \rightarrow S/\text{Im}(\vartheta)$ is the canonical projection. It is explicit that g is surjective. Thus, g splits, that is there is a homomorphism $h : P \rightarrow S$ such that $gh = 1_P$. Then $f = fgh = \pi h$. Therefore $S = \text{Im}(\vartheta) + h(P)$ and $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap h(P) \ll h(P)$. Note that $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap h(P) \leq \text{Rad}(S)$. By the assumption, $\text{Im}(\vartheta) \cap h(P)$ is semi-simple. Hence S is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Corollary 17. Let R be a ring with $\text{Soc}_S({}_R R) = \text{Rad}(R)$. Then the statements below are equivalent:

- 1) ${}_R R$ is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.
- 2) ${}_R R/\text{Im}(\vartheta)$ possesses a projective cover for each $\vartheta \in \text{End}_R({}_R R)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2 [10], $\text{Soc}_S({}_R R) = \text{Rad}(R) \cap \text{Soc}({}_R R)$. Then by the assumption, $\text{Rad}(R) \leq \text{Soc}({}_R R)$. Hence the result stems from Proposition 5.

Finally, in the next theorem we characterise projective R -modules via $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented R -modules over a left perfect ring R with $\text{Rad}(R) \leq \text{Soc}({}_R R)$.

Theorem 6. Let R be a ring. Consider the conditions below.

- 1) R is a left perfect ring with $\text{Rad}(R) \leq \text{Soc}({}_R R)$.
- 2) Each projective left R -module is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.
- 3) Each free left R -module is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module.

Then (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3).

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let S be a projective R -module. Then since S is a projective module, $\text{Rad}(S) = \text{Rad}(R)S \leq \text{Soc}({}_R R)S = \text{Soc}(S)$ by the assumption. Since R is a left perfect ring, each left R -module possesses a projective cover by Section 43.9 [1], and so $S/\text{Im}(\vartheta)$ possesses a projective cover for each $\vartheta \in \text{End}_R(S)$. Hence S is a $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module by Proposition 5.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): This is explicit.

(3) \Rightarrow (2): This stems from Corollary 7.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper the concept of ' $\mathcal{J}_{ss}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module' is defined based on the known concepts which are in the literature. Generalising ss -supplements in this paper to δ_{ss} -supplements, the notion of ' $\mathcal{J}_{\delta_{ss}}^{\oplus}$ -supplemented module' can be described and basic algebraic properties can be examined analogously.

REFERENCES

1. R. Wisbauer, "Foundations of Modules and Rings", Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Philadelphia, 1991.
2. G. Lee, S. T. Rizvi and C. S. Roman, "Dual Rickart modules", *Comm. Algebra*, 2011, 39, 4036-4058.

3. J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja and R. Wisbauer, “Lifting Modules”, Birkhauser-Verlag, Basel, **2006**.
4. S. H. Mohamed and B. J. Müller, “Continuous and Discrete Modules”, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, **1990**.
5. E. Kaynar, “On a variation of \oplus –supplemented modules”, *Algebra Discrete Math.*, **2024**, 38, 43-58.
6. D. Keskin and W. Xue, “Generalizations of lifting modules”, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **2001**, 91, 253-261.
7. H. Çalışıcı and E. Türkmen, “Generalized \oplus –supplemented modules”, *Algebra Discrete Math.*, **2010**, 10, 10-18.
8. T. A. Kalati, “A generalization of lifting modules”, *Ukr. Math. J.*, **2015**, 66, 1654-1664.
9. D. X. Zhou and X. R. Zhang, “Small-essential submodules and Morita duality”, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, **2011**, 35, 1051-1062.
10. E. Kaynar, H. Çalışıcı and E. Türkmen, “ ss –Supplemented modules”, *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat.*, **2020**, 69, 473-485.
11. F. Eryılmaz, “ ss -Lifting modules and rings”, *Miskolc Math. Notes*, **2021**, 22, 655-662.
12. E. Önal Kır, “A generalization of ss –lifting modules”, Proceedings of 2nd Ahi Evran International Conference on Scientific Research, **2022**, Kirsehir, Turkey, pp.621-626.
13. A. Ç. Özcan, A. Harmancı and P. F. Smith, “Duo modules”, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **2006**, 48, 533-545.
14. F. Kasch, “Modules and Rings”, Academic Press, New York, **1982**.
15. G. Bilhan and A. T. Güroğlu, “A variation of supplemented modules”, *Turk. J. Math.*, **2013**, 37, 418-426.
16. D. Keskin, “On lifting modules”, *Commun. Algebra*, **2000**, 28, 3427-3440.
17. M. Yousif, I. Amin and Y. Ibrahim, “ D_3 –modules”, *Commun. Algebra*, **2014**, 42, 578-592.
18. D. W. Sharpe and P. Vamos, “Injective Modules”, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, **1972**.