

Full Paper

Generalisation of close-to-convex functions associated with Janowski functions

Muhammad Shafiq¹, Nazar Khan^{1,*}, Hari M. Srivastava^{2,3,4}, Bilal Khan⁵, Qazi Z. Ahmad¹ and Muhammad Tahir¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Abbottabad University of Science and Technology, Abbottabad 22010, Pakistan

² Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3R4, Canada

³ Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan, Republic of China

⁴ Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Azerbaijan University, 71 Jeyhun Hajibeyli Street, AZ1007 Baku, Azerbaijan

⁵ School of Mathematical Sciences, East China Normal University, 500 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200241, Peoples Republic of China

* Corresponding author, e-mail: nazarmaths@gmail.com

Received: 10 March 2019 / Accepted: 16 April 2020 / Published: 4 June 2020

Abstract: A new class of q -close-to-convex functions associated with Janowski functions is defined. In this regard, we give sufficient conditions and prove the famous de Branges theorem for this newly-defined class of q -close-to-convex functions. We also give the application of our results to finding sufficient conditions for the celebrated Mittag-Leffler function to be a Janowski q -close-to-convex function.

Keywords: univalent functions, close-to-convex functions, q -derivative operator, q -close-to-convex function, Bieberbach conjecture, de-Branges theorem

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

By $H(U)$ we denote the class of functions which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\},$$

where \mathbb{C} is, as usual, the complex plane. Let A denote the class of functions having the following form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (\forall z \in U), \quad (1)$$

which are in the open unit disk U , centred at the origin and normalised by the conditions given by

$$f(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(0) = 1.$$

Also, let $S \subset A$ be the class of functions which are univalent in U .

Furthermore, we denote by S^* , the class of functions in A which are starlike in U and satisfy the following inequality:

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > 0, \quad (\forall z \in U).$$

For $f \in S^*$, one can find that [1]

$$|a_n| \leq n \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3, \dots \quad (2)$$

Moreover, the class of close-to-convex functions in U are denoted here by K and defined as follows. A function $f \in A$ is said to be in the class K if and only if there exists a function $g \in S^*$ such that

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}\right) > 0, \quad (\forall z \in U). \quad (3)$$

Furthermore, if two functions f and g are analytic in U , we say that the function f is subordinate to g and written as

$$f \prec g \quad \text{or} \quad f(z) \prec g(z),$$

if there exists a Schwarz function w which is analytic in U with

$$w(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |w(z)| < 1$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)).$$

It can also be seen that if the function g is univalent in U , then it follows that

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in U) \Rightarrow f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(U) \subset g(U).$$

We next denote by P the class of analytic functions p which are normalised by

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n \quad (4)$$

such that

$$\Re(p(z)) > 0.$$

Definition 1. A given analytic function h with $h(0) = 1$ is said to belong to the class $P[A, B]$ if and only if

$$h(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1.$$

The analytic function class $P[A, B]$ was introduced by Janowski [2], who showed that $h(z) \in P[A, B]$ if and only if there exists a function $p \in P$ such that

$$h(z) = \frac{(A+1)p(z) - (A-1)}{(B+1)p(z) - (B-1)}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1.$$

Definition 2. A function $f \in A$ is said to belong to the class $K[A, B]$ if and only if there exists $g \in S^*$ such that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{(A+1)p(z) - (A-1)}{(B+1)p(z) - (B-1)}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1. \quad (5)$$

We now recall some basic definitions and concept details of the q -calculus which are used in this article. We suppose throughout the article that $0 < q < 1$ and that

$$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} = \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \{0\} \quad (\mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}).$$

Definition 3. Let $q \in (0, 1)$ and define the q -number $[\lambda]_q$ by

$$[\lambda]_q = \begin{cases} \frac{1-q^\lambda}{1-q} & (\lambda \in \mathbb{C}) \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q^k = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{n-1} & (\lambda = n \in \mathbb{C}). \end{cases}$$

Definition 4. Let $q \in (0, 1)$ and define the q -factorial $[n]_q!$ by

$$[n]_q! = \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 0) \\ \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_q & (n \in \mathbb{N}). \end{cases}$$

Definition 5 [3, 4]. The q -derivative (or q -difference) D_q of a function f is defined in a given subset of \mathbb{C} by

$$D_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} & (z \neq 0) \\ f'(0) & (z = 0). \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

We note from (6) that the q -derivative (or the q -difference) operator $D_q f$ converges to the ordinary derivative operator as follows:

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} (D_q f)(z) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} = f'(z).$$

For a differentiable function f in a given subset of \mathbb{C} , it is readily deduced from (1) and (6) that

$$D_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1} \quad (7)$$

In Geometric Function Theory, several subclasses belonging to the class A of normalised analytic functions A have already been investigated in different aspects. The above defined q -calculus gives valuable tools that have been extensively used for investigating several subclasses of A . Ismail et al. [5] were the first who employed q -derivative operator D_q to study the q -calculus analogous with the class S^* of starlike functions in U . Raghavendar and Swaminathan [6] used the q -derivative operator D_q for studying the q -calculus corresponding to the class K of close-to-convex functions in U (see Definition 6 below).

Recently, using the q -derivative operator, certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions were introduced and investigated [7-9]. For example, non-sharp estimates on the first two

Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ were studied [10]. Kanas and Raducanu [11] have used the fractional q -calculus operators in the investigation of certain classes of functions which are analytic in open-unit disk U by using the idea of canonical domain. Coefficient inequality for q -closed-to-convex functions with respect to Janowski-type starlike functions has been studied by Ucar [12]. In fact, historically speaking, a remarkably significant usage of the q -calculus in the context of Geometric Function Theory of Complex Analysis was basically furnished and the basic (or q -) hypergeometric functions were first used in Geometric Function Theory by Srivastava [13] and Srivastava and Bansal [14].

Definition 6 [6]. A function $f \in A$ is said to belong to the class K_q if there exists $g \in S^*$ such that

$$f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0 \quad (8)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{z}{g(z)} (D_q f)(z) - \frac{1}{1-q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{1-q} \quad (\forall z \in U). \quad (9)$$

Then we say that $f \in K_q$ with the function g . We note that the notation K_q was first used by Sahoo and Sharma [15]. It is readily observed that, as $q \rightarrow 1^-$, the closed disk

$$\left| w - \frac{1}{1-q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{1-q}$$

becomes the right-half plane and the class K_q of q -close-to-convex function reduces to the familiar class K . Equivalently, by using the principle of subordination between analytic functions, we can rewrite the conditions in (8) and (9) as follows [9]:

$$\frac{z}{g(z)} (D_q f)(z) < \tilde{p} \quad \left(\tilde{p} = \frac{1+z}{1-qz} \right).$$

Motivated by the work of Janowski [2], Ucar [12] and other related works cited above in this paper, we shall consider a (presumably new) subclass of q -close-to-convex function with respect to Janowski functions.

Definition 7. A function $f \in A$ is said to belong to the class $K_q[A, B]$ if and only if there exists $g \in S^*$ such that

$$\frac{D_q f(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{(A+1)\tilde{p} - (A-1)}{(B+1)\tilde{p} - (B-1)}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \quad q \in (0, 1)$$

which, by using the principle of subordination between analytic functions, can be written as

$$\frac{z D_q f(z)}{g(z)} < \phi(z),$$

where

$$\phi(z) = \frac{z(A+1) + 2 + zq(A-1)}{z(B+1) + 2 + zq(B-1)}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, q \in (0, 1).$$

Or, equivalently, $f \in K_q[A, B]$ if and only if there exists $g \in S^*$ such that

$$\left| \frac{(B-1) \frac{D_q f(z)}{g(z)} - (A-1)}{(B+1) \frac{D_q f(z)}{g(z)} - (A+1)} - \frac{1}{1-q} \right| < \frac{1}{1-q}.$$

Remark 1. Firstly, if we let $q \rightarrow 1$, we have the familiar $K[A, B]$ (see Definition 2) introduced and studied by Noor [16]. Secondly, for $A=1, B=-1$, we have K_q introduced and studied by Raghavendar et al. [6]. Thirdly, for $A=1, B=-1$ and if we let $q \rightarrow 1$, we have K , the class of close-to-convex functions introduced and studied by Kaplan [17].

The following Lemma will be required for the proof of our main results.

Lemma 1 [18]. Let the function p given by

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$$

be subordinate to H given by

$$H(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n z^n.$$

If $H(z)$ is univalent in U and $H(U)$ is convex, then

$$|p_n| \leq |C_n|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

MAIN RESULTS

In this section we prove our main results. Throughout our discussion, we assume that

$$-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \quad \text{and } q \in (0, 1).$$

Theorem 1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form given by (1) is in the class $K_q[A, B]$ if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |[n]_q (B-1)a_n - (A-1)n| < |B-A|. \quad (10)$$

Proof. Assuming that the inequality (10) holds true, it suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{(B-1) \frac{z D_q f(z)}{g(z)} - (A-1)}{(B+1) \frac{z D_q f(z)}{g(z)} - (A+1)} - \frac{1}{1-q} \right| < \frac{1}{1-q}. \quad (11)$$

Letting

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (\forall z \in U), \quad (12)$$

we have

$$\left| \frac{(B-1) \frac{z D_q f(z)}{g(z)} - (A-1)}{(B+1) \frac{z D_q f(z)}{g(z)} - (A+1)} - \frac{1}{1-q} \right| \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left| \frac{(B-1)zD_q f(z) - (A-1)g(z)}{(B+1)zD_q f(z) - (A+1)g(z)} - 1 \right| + \frac{q}{1-q} \\
&= 2 \left| \frac{g(z) - zD_q f(z)}{(B+1)zD_q f(z) - (A+1)g(z)} \right| + \frac{q}{1-q} \\
&= 2 \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (b_n - [n]_q a_n) z^n}{(B-A) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{ [n]_q (B+1)a_n - (A+1)b_n \} z^n} \right| + \frac{q}{1-q}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by using trigonometric inequality and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{(B-1) \frac{zD_q f(z)}{g(z)} - (A-1)}{(B+1) \frac{zD_q f(z)}{g(z)} - (A+1)} - \frac{1}{1-q} \right| \\
&\leq 2 \cdot \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |n - [n]_q a_n|}{|B-A| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |[n]_q (B+1)a_n - (A+1)n|} + \frac{q}{1-q}. \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

The last expression in (14) is bounded above by $\frac{1}{1-q}$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |[n]_q (B-1)a_n - (A-1)n| < |B-A|.$$

Thus, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let $f \in K_q[A, B]$ be of the form (1). Then for $n \geq 2$,

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{[n]_q} \left[n + \frac{(A-B)(q+1)}{2} \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (j+1) \right]. \tag{15}$$

Proof. By definition, for $f \in K_q[A, B]$, we have

$$\frac{zD_q f(z)}{g(z)} = p(z), \tag{16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
p(z) &< \frac{z(A+1) + 2 + zq(A-1)}{z(B+1) + 2 + zq(B-1)} \\
&= 1 + \frac{1}{2}(A-B)(q+1)z + \frac{1}{4}(A-B)(q+1)\{(q+1)B - q + 1\}z^2 + \dots.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n,$$

then by Lemma 1 we have

$$|p_n| \leq \frac{1}{2}(A-B)(q+1), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (17)$$

Now from (16), we have

$$zD_q f(z) = p(z)g(z), \quad (18)$$

which implies that

$$z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^n = \left(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \right) \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \right).$$

Equating the coefficients of z^n on both sides, we have

$$[n]_q a_n = b_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{n-j} c_j, \quad a_1 = 1.$$

This implies that

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{[n]_q} \left[|b_n| + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |b_{n-j}| |c_j| \right], \quad a_1 = 1.$$

Moreover, by using (17) and (2), we have

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{[n]_q} \left[n + \frac{(A-B)(q+1)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j \right], \quad a_1 = 1. \quad (19)$$

Next, in order to prove that

$$\frac{1}{[n]_q} \left[n + \frac{(A-B)(q+1)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j \right] \leq \frac{1}{[n]_q} \left[n + \frac{(A-B)(q+1)}{2} \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (j+1) \right], \quad (20)$$

we use the principle of mathematical induction. Of course, for $n = 2$, we find from (19) that

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{1}{[2]_q} \left[2 + \frac{(q+1)(A-B)}{2} \right],$$

which results also from (15). Now for $n = 3$, we find from (19) that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3| &\leq \frac{1}{[3]_q} \left[3 + \frac{(q+1)(A-B)}{2} + \frac{2(A-B)(1+q)}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{[3]_q} \left[3 + \frac{(q+1)(A-B)}{2} (1+2) \right], \end{aligned}$$

which follows also from (15). Let the hypothesis be true for $n = m$. Then it follows from (19) that

$$|a_m| \leq \frac{1}{[m]_q} \left[m + \frac{(A-B)(q+1)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} j \right] \quad a_1 = 1.$$

On the other hand, from (15), we have

$$|a_m| \leq \frac{1}{[m]_q} \left[m + \frac{(A-B)(q+1)}{2} \prod_{j=0}^{m-2} (j+1) \right].$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\frac{1}{[m]_q} \left[m + \frac{(A-B)(q+1)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} j \right] \leq \frac{1}{[m]_q} \left[m + \frac{(A-B)(q+1)}{2} \prod_{j=0}^{m-2} (j+1) \right].$$

We now consider

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{m+1}| &\leq \frac{1}{[m+1]_q} \left[m+1 + \frac{(A-B)(q+1)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m j \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{[m+1]_q} \left[m+1 + \frac{(A-B)(q+1)}{2} (1+2+\dots+m) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{[m+1]_q} \left[m+1 + \frac{(A-B)(q+1)}{2} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (j+1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Also from (15), we have

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \frac{1}{[m+1]_q} \left[m+1 + \frac{(A-B)(q+1)}{2} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (j+1) \right],$$

which shows that inequality (20) is true for $n = m+1$. Thus, by the principle of mathematical induction, we have completed the proof of Theorem 2.

DE BRANGES THEOREM FOR $K_q[A, B]$

In this section Theorem 3 works as one of the key results for estimating coefficient bounds for series representation of functions in the class $K_q[A, B]$. In other words, we investigate the famous Bieberbach conjecture problem on coefficients of analytic q -close-to-convex functions associated with the Janowski functions. The Bieberbach conjecture for close-to-convex functions is given by Reade [19].

We now continue to give the Bieberbach-deBranges Theorem for functions in the q -close-to-convex family associated with the Janowski functions.

Theorem 3. Let $f \in K_q[A, B]$ be of the form (1). Then for $n \geq 2$,

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{[n]_q} \left[n + \frac{n(n-1)(q+1)}{4} (A-B) \right].$$

Proof. The proof of Theorem 3 follows immediately by using (19).

In its special case, when $A = 1$ and $B = -1$, Theorem 3 reduces to the following known results.

Corollary 1 [15]. If $f \in K_q$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{[n]_q} \left[n + \frac{n(n-1)(q+1)}{2} \right].$$

If, in Theorem 3, we set $A = 1$ and $B = -1$ and let $q \rightarrow 1$, we are led to the following known result.

Corollary 2 [18]. Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be close-to-convex for $|z| < 1$. Then the coefficients satisfy the following inequality:

$$|a_n| \leq n|a_1|.$$

In view of Frideman's result [20], there are only nine functions in the class S whose coefficients are rational integers. They are

$$z, \quad \frac{z}{1 \pm z}, \quad \frac{z}{1 \pm z^2}, \quad \frac{z}{(1 \pm z)^2}, \quad \frac{z}{1 \pm z + z^2}. \quad (21)$$

We can easily see that the functions in (21) map the unit disk U onto starlike domains. Using the idea of Sahoo et al. [15], we now study special cases of Theorem 3 with respect to the nine functions having integer coefficients. However, in this case it is sufficient to consider the identity function and four other functions which contain the factor $1 - z$ instead of $1 \pm z$ in the denominator. Especially, Theorem 3 reduces to the following consequences (Theorems 4-8). We provide proofs of the last two consequences (Theorems 7 and 8) as they involve variations in the exponents, though the initial three consequences (Theorems 4-6) follow directly after making exact substitutions for the starlike functions $g(z)$.

Theorem 4. Let $f \in K_q[A, B]$ be of the form (1) with the Koebe function $g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$. Then for all $n \geq 2$,

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{[n]_q} \left[n + \frac{n(n-1)(q+1)}{4} (A-B) \right].$$

Remark 2. If $f \in K$, with $g(z) = z$, then for all $n \geq 2$, it is well known that

$$|a_n| \leq 2.$$

Remark 3. If $f \in K_q$, with $g(z) = z$, then for all $n \geq 2$, it is well known [21] that

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1-q^2}{1-q^n}.$$

As a generalisation, we have the following result.

Theorem 5. Let $f \in K_q[A, B]$ be of the form (1) with $g(z) = z$. Then for all $n \geq 2$, we have

$$|a_n| \leq \left(\frac{1-q^2}{1-q^n} \right) \cdot \frac{(A-B)}{2}.$$

Remark 4. If $f \in K$, with $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$, then for all $n \geq 2$, it can be seen that

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{(2n-1)}{n}.$$

Remark 5. If $f \in K_q$, with $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$, then for all $n \geq 2$, it is well known [21] that

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1-q}{1-q^n} [n + q(n-1)].$$

We now state the following analogous result.

Theorem 6. Let $f \in K_q[A, B]$ be of the form (1) with $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$. Then for all $n \geq 2$,

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1-q}{1-q^n} \left[n + \frac{q(n-1)}{2} (A-B) \right].$$

Remark 6. If $f \in K$ with $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^2}$, then for all $n \geq 2$, it is known that

$$|a_n| \leq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 2m-1 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 2m \end{cases}.$$

As a generalisation, we now state the following theorem along with an outline of its proof.

Theorem 7. Let $f \in K_q[A, B]$ be the form (1) with $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^2}$. Then for all $n \geq 2$, we have

$$|a_n| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{[n]_q} \left(1 + \frac{(q+1)(n-1)}{4} (A-B) \right) & \text{if } n = 2m-1 \\ \frac{1}{[n]_q} \left(\frac{(q+1)n}{4} (A-B) \right) & \text{if } n = 2m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since

$$g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{2n-1},$$

by (18), we get

$$z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^n = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{2n-1} \right) \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n \right). \quad (22)$$

In order to prove the required optimal bound for $|a_n|$ in this situation, it is appropriate to compare the coefficients of z^{2n-1} and z^{2n} separately. In (22) we first compare the coefficients of z^{2n-1} ; for $n \geq 2$, we have

$$[2n-1]_q a_{2n-1} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{2j}.$$

This implies that

$$|a_{2n-1}| \leq \frac{1}{[2n-1]_q} \left[1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |p_{2j}| \right].$$

Using (17), we have

$$|a_{2n-1}| \leq \frac{1}{[2n-1]_q} \left[1 + \frac{(1+q)|A-B|}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 1 \right].$$

Secondly, by comparing the coefficients of z^{2n} , for $n \geq 2$, we have

$$[2n]_q a_{2n} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_{2j+1},$$

and similarly we have the bound given by

$$|a_{2n}| \leq \frac{1}{[2n]_q} \left[\frac{(1+q)(A-B)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 1 \right]. \quad (23)$$

We have thus proved the optimal bound for $|a_n|$. In its special case if we let $A = 1$ and $B = -1$, we obtain the following known result.

Corollary 3 [15]. If $f \in K_q$ with $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^2}$, then for all $m \geq 1$,

$$|a_n| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1-q}{1-q^n} \left(\frac{n}{2}(1+q) + \frac{1}{2}(1-q) \right), & \text{if } n = 2m-1 \\ \left(\frac{1-q^2}{1-q^n} \right) \frac{n}{2}, & \text{if } n = 2m. \end{cases}$$

Remark 7. If $f \in K$, with $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z+z^2}$, then for all $n \geq 2$, it is known that

$$|a_n| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4n+1}{3n}, & \text{if } n = 2m-1 \\ \frac{4}{3}, & \text{if } n = 2m \\ \frac{4n-1}{3n}, & \text{if } n = 2m+1. \end{cases}$$

As a generalisation, we have following result.

Theorem 8. Let $f \in K_q[A, B]$ be of the form (1) with $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z+z^2}$. Then for all $n \geq 2$,

$$|a_n| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3[n]_q} \{ (A-B)(1+q)(n+1) - 3q \}, & \text{if } n = 2m-1 \\ \frac{1}{3[n]_q} \{ (A-B)(1+q)n \}, & \text{if } n = 2m \\ \frac{1}{3[n]_q} \{ (A-B)(1+q)(n-1) + 3 \}, & \text{if } n = 2m+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since

$$g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z+z^2} = \frac{z(1+z)}{1+z^3} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} z^{3n-2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} z^{3n-1},$$

by (18), we get

$$z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^n = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} z^{3n-2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} z^{3n-1} \right) \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n \right). \quad (24)$$

In order to prove the required bounds for $|a_n|$, by first comparing the coefficients of z^{2n-1} , we get

$$[3n-1]_q a_{3n-1} = -(-1)^{n-j} + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{n-j} p_{3j-2} + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{n-j} p_{3j}. \quad (25)$$

Taking the moduli of both sides in (25) and using (17), for $0 < q < 1$ and $j \geq 1$, we have

$$|a_{3n-1}| \leq \frac{1}{[3n-1]_q} ((A-B)(1+q)n - q).$$

Next, for all $n \geq 1$, if we compare the coefficients of z^{3n} and z^{3n+1} in (24), we obtain the coefficient bounds given, respectively, by

$$|a_{3n}| \leq \frac{1}{[3n]_q} ((A-B)(1+q)n)$$

and

$$|a_{3n+1}| \leq \frac{1}{[3n+1]_q} ((A-B)(1+q)n + 1).$$

Hence the required result asserted by Theorem 8.

In its special case when we let $A = 1$ and $B = -1$, we have the following known result.

Corollary 4 [15]. If $f \in K_q$ with $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z+z^2}$ then for all $m \geq 1$,

$$|a_n| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1-q}{1-q^n} \left(\frac{1}{3}(2-q) + \frac{2n}{3}(1+q) \right), & \text{if } n = 2m-1 \\ \left(\frac{1-q^2}{1-q^n} \right) \frac{2n}{3}, & \text{if } n = 2m \\ \frac{1-q}{1-q^n} \left(\frac{2n}{3}(1+q) + \frac{1}{3}(1-2q) \right), & \text{if } n = 2m+1. \end{cases}$$

PROPERTIES INVOLVING $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} X_n z^n$ **TO BE IN CLASS** $K_q[A, B]$

In this section we study a number of sufficient conditions for the representation $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} X_n z^n$ to be in $K_q[A, B]$. Rewriting this representation, we get

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} X_n z^n \quad (X_0 = 1, X_1 = 1). \quad (26)$$

If $f(z)$ is of the form (26), then a simple computation yields

$$(D_q f)z = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q X_n z^{n-1} \quad (\forall z \in U).$$

Definition 8. For $t > 0$, let q -gamma function be defined as

$$\Gamma_q(t+1) = [t]_q \Gamma_q(t) \text{ and } \Gamma_q(1) = 1,$$

where $[t]_q$ is defined in Definition 3.

Considering X_n to be a special function, we consider, in particular, X_n as given by

$$X_n = \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha n + \beta)}, \quad \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, n \in N. \quad (27)$$

Then the function given by (26) reduces to the following form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha n + \beta)} z^{n+1}, \quad \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, n \in N, \quad (28)$$

which is a normalised q -Mittag-Leffler function. These functions have a wide history and many applications in the field of Geometric Functions Theory, for example in geometric properties including starlikeness, convexity and close-to-convexity for the q -Mittag-Leffler function $f(z)$, which were investigated by Bansal and Prajapat [22] and recently by Srivastava and Bansal [14] and Raza and Din [23]. Differential subordination results associated with the generalised Mittag-Leffler function were also obtained [24]. The q -Mittag-Leffler functions were defined and normalised by Sharma et al. [21]. With this development in view, we now collect a number of sufficient conditions for the functions to be in $K_q[A, B]$.

Theorem 9. Let $f(z)$ be of the form (26) and suppose that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |B_{n+1} - B_n| \leq \frac{|B-A|}{(B+3)}, \quad (29)$$

where

$$B_n = [n]_q X_n$$

Then $f(z) \in K_q[A, B]$ with $g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)}$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 9 follows easily when we apply (13) in conjunction with

$$g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)} \text{ and } (1-z)D_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (B_{n+1} - B_n)z^n. \quad (30)$$

In particular, for the choice of X_n , we have the following result.

Corollary 5. Let $f(z)$ be of the form (28) and suppose that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |B_{n+1} - B_n| \leq \frac{|B-A|}{(B+3)},$$

where

$$B_n = [n]_q X_n.$$

Also, let X_n be given by (27). Then $f(z) \in K_q[A, B]$ with $g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)}$.

Theorem 10. Let $f(z)$ be of the form (26) and suppose that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |B_n - B_{n+1}| \leq \frac{|B-A|}{(B+3)},$$

where

$$B_n = [n+1]_q X_{n+1} - [n]_q X_n.$$

Then $f(z) \in K_q[A, B]$ with $g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$.

Proof. It can be easily seen that

$$g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \text{ and } (1-z)^2 D_q f(z) = 1 + (B_1 - 1)z + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (B_{n+1} - B_{n-2})z^{n-1}. \quad (31)$$

Now by using (13) along with (31), we complete the proof of Theorem 10.

By specialising

$$X_n = \frac{\Gamma_q(\beta)}{\Gamma_q(\alpha n + \beta)}, \quad \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, n \in N,$$

we get the following corollary.

Corollary 6. Let $f(z)$ be of the form (28) and suppose that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |B_n - B_{n+1}| \leq \frac{|B-A|}{(B+3)},$$

where

$$B_n = [n+1]_q X_{n+1} - [n]_q X_n,$$

and X_n is given by (27). Then $f(z) \in K_q[A, B]$ with $g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$.

Theorem 11. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} X_{2n-1} z^{2n-1}$ and assume that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |B_{2n-1} - B_{2n+1}| \leq \frac{|B-A|}{(B+3)},$$

where

$$B_n = [n]_q X_n.$$

Then $f(z) \in K_q[A, B]$ with $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^2}$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 11 follows immediately by using (13) and

$$g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^2} \text{ and } (1-z^2) D_q f(z) = 1 - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (B_{2n-1} - B_{2n-2}) z^{2n}.$$

CONCLUSIONS

We have combined the concept of the familiar Janowski functions and the q -derivative operator and defined a new subclass of q -close-to-convex functions associated with the Janowski functions. Sufficient conditions, de Branges theorem, coefficient inequalities and sufficient conditions for Mittag-Leffler functions to be in the class of Janowski q -close-to-convex functions have been discussed. Relevant connections of our results with those that are already present in the literature have been pointed out.

REFERENCES

1. P. L. Duren, "Univalent Functions", Springer-Verlag, New York, **1983**.
2. W. Janowski, "Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions I" *Annales Polonici Math.*, **1973**, 28, 297-326.

3. F. H. Jackson, "On q -definite integrals", *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **1910**, *41*, 193-203.
4. F. H. Jackson, " q -Difference equations", *Amer. J. Math.*, **1910**, *32*, 305-314.
5. M. E. H. Ismail, E. Merkes and D. Styer, "A generalization of starlike functions", *Complex Var. Theory Appl.*, **1990**, *14*, 77-84.
6. K. Raghavendar and A. Swaminathan, "Close-to-convexity of basic hypergeometric functions using their Taylor coefficients", *J. Math. Appl.*, **2012**, *35*, 53-67.
7. H. M. Srivastava, M. Tahir, B. Khan, Q. Z. Ahmad and N. Khan, "Some general classes of q -starlike functions associated with the Janowski functions", *Symmetry*, **2019**, *11*, Art.ID 292.
8. H. M. Srivastava, Q. Z. Ahmad, N. Khan and B. Khan, "Hankel and Toeplitz determinants for a subclass of q -starlike functions associated with a general conic domain", *Math.*, **2019**, *7*, Art.ID 181.
9. H. M. Srivastava, S. Altinkaya and S. Yalcin, "Hankel determinant for a subclass of bi-univalent functions defined by using a symmetric q -derivative operator", *Filomat*, **2018**, *32*, 503-516.
10. M. Sabil, Q. Z. Ahmad, B. Khan, M. Tahir and N. Khan, "Generalisation of certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions", *Maejo Int. J. Sci. Technol.*, **2019**, *13*, 1-9.
11. S. Kanas and D. Raducanu, "Some class of analytic functions related to conic domains", *Math. Slovaca*, **2014**, *64*, 1183-1196.
12. H. E. O. Ucar, "Coefficient inequality for q -starlike functions", *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **2016**, *276*, 122-126.
13. H. M. Srivastava, "Univalent functions, fractional calculus, and associated generalized hypergeometric functions", in "Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications" (Ed. H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa), Halsted Press, Chichester, **1989**, pp.329-354.
14. H. M. Srivastava and D. Bansal, "Close-to-convexity of a certain family of q -Mittag-Leffler functions", *J. Nonlinear Var. Anal.*, **2017**, *1*, 61-69.
15. S. K. Sahoo and N. L. Sharma, "On a generalization of close-to-convex functions", *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **2015**, *113*, 93-108.
16. K. I. Noor, "On some integral operators for certain families of analytic function", *Tamkang J. Math.*, **1991**, *22*, 113-117.
17. W. Kaplan, "Close-to-convex schlicht functions", *Michigan Math. J.*, **1952**, *1*, 169-185.
18. W. Rogosinski, "On the coefficients of subordinate functions", *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **1945**, *48*, 48-82.
19. M. O. Reade, "On close-to-convex univalent functions" *Michigan Math. J.*, **1955**, *3*, 59-62.
20. B. Friedman, "Two theorems on schlicht functions", *Duke Math. J.*, **1946**, *13*, 171-177.
21. S. K. Sharma and R. Jain, "On some properties of generalized q -Mittag Leffler function", *Math. Aeterna*, **2014**, *4*, 613-619.
22. D. Bansal and J. K. Prajapat, "Certain geometric properties of the Mittag-Leffler functions", *Complex Var. Elliptic Eq.*, **2016**, *61*, 338-350.
23. M. Raza and M. U. Din, "Close-to-convexity of q -Mittag-Leffler functions", *Comptes Rend. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, **2018**, *71*, 1581-1591.
24. D. Răducanu, "Differential subordinations for analytic functions associated with generalized Mittag-Leffler functions", *Mediterr. J. Math.*, **2017**, *14*, Art.no.167.